

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/622,639	10/12/2000	Arnaud Hory	HORY 2.PCT/U	8500	
466	7590 06/19/2002				
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAM	EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	i l	
		DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	GL
	Applicant(s)
	HORY ET AL.
	Art Unit
	1731
vith the c	orrespondence address
MONTH(	S) FROM
reply be tim	nely filed
NTHS from	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any
-11	and the morte is
	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
the Exa	
	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
ea b) c	disapproved by the Examiner.
. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
Applicat	tion No
en receiv	red in this National Stage
). ot receiv	ed.
C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
heen re	ceived

		09/622,639	HORY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status  1)  ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2002.							
/ <del>-</del> -		is action is non-final.					
	·		prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-21</u> is/are rejected.							
•	n(s) is/are objected to.	a ala atian nagainamant					
8) Clain Application Pa	n(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
		er.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>05 April 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
U.S. Patent and Trademar	k Office		Part of Paner No. 11				

Application No.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/622,639

Art Unit: 1731

- 1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 4/5/02 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feygin (5,354,414) in view of Deckard (5,639,070) and **Dictionary of Ceramic Science and Engineering** by O'Bannon.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,639

Art Unit: 1731

Feygin teaches the basic claimed process for the rapid prototyping by sintering a powder.

The process of Feygin includes the steps of:

obtaining a series of digitized superposed sections of an object to be produced from a three dimensional representation of said object (e.g. col. 5, lines 47-51);

spreading the powder in the form of a thin layer (e.g. col. 5, lines 62-65);

increasing the density of the layer by mechanical compacting (e.g. col. 5, lines 62-65);

bringing the densified layer to the sintering temperature by sweeping with a laser beam said layer (e.g. col. 6, line 2).

Feygin also discloses that the powder may be ceramic (col. 11, line 23), and the laser may be a YAG laser (col. 10, line 67).

Feygin does not specifically disclose heating the layer of powder prior to bringing it to the sintering temperature. Deckard discloses a similar process for producing parts by selective sintering. Deckard, however, discloses heating the layer of powder to be sintered prior to sintering. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to include this heating step in the process of Feygin to reduce shrinkage as disclosed by Deckard.

Note that although Feygin does not specifically recite that the sintering therein is solid phase, it is submitted that this is indeed the case. Page 232 of **Dictionary of Ceramic Science** and Engineering by O'Bannon defines sintering as "the bonding of powdered materials by solid-state reactions at temperatures lower than those required for the formation of a liquid phase".

Determination of the specific heating temperature and laser wavelength would have been well within the realm of routine experimentation to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 09/622,639

Art Unit: 1731

time of the invention. These parameters would have obviously been selected to optimize the process conditions and/or the properties of the final product.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-21 (based on the rejection of canceled claims 1-5) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is 703-308-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm, but works a flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

caf June 10, 2002